## STATE OF DELAWARE

## PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS BOARD

TIMOTHY N. JOYNES	)	
Charging Party	) ) )	
v.	) ) )	<u>ULP NO. 03-12-414</u>
STATE OF DELAWARE,	)	
DELAWARE ADMINISTRATION FOR REGIONAL TRANSIT/DELAWARE TRANSIT CORPORATION	)	
Respondent.	)	

## ORDER OF DISMISSAL

- 1. Timothy N. Joynes, ("JOYNES" or "Petitioner") is an employee of the Delaware Transit Corporation, within the meaning of 19 <u>Del. C</u>. Section 1302(o) of the Public Employment Relations Act, and a member of the bargaining unit of public employees represented by the Amalgamated Transit Union, Local 842.
- State of Delaware, Delaware Administration for Regional Transit/Delaware Transit
   Corporation <sup>1</sup> ("DART" or STATE"), is a public employer within the meaning of 19 <u>Del.C</u>.
   Section 1302 (p), of the Public Employment Relations Act.
- 3. On December 29, 2003, Petitioner filed an Unfair Labor Practice Charge with the Public Employment Relations Board ("PERB"). The charge alleged violations of 19 <u>Del.C.</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Delaware Authority for Regional Transit ("DART") was created by the Delaware General Assembly in 1969 as a provider of public transit. In 1994 the General Assembly created the Delaware Transit Corporation (DTC) to oversee DART and the operation and management of the public transit system within Delaware. Currently, DTC is responsible for overseeing bus service along fixed routes throughout the State (Now "DART First State").

Sections 1307(a)(1), (2), (4), (5), (6), (7), and (a)(8) by DART when it failed to abide by the

terms of a mutually-agreed upon resolution signed by representatives of ATU Local 842 and

DART regarding re-implementation of a no-fault attendance policy.

4. On or about January 6, 2004, the State filed its Answer to the Unfair Labor Practice Charge,

denying all material allegations, and alleging New Matter.

5. On or about January 13, 2004, Petitioner filed it's Answer to the State's New Matter.

6. On February 26, 2004, the parties met for an informal conference to define the issues raised

by the charges and to identify whether there were factual disputes which required a hearing.

7. Following the informal conference, by letter dated March 3, 2004, Petitioner requested to

withdraw the charge.

WHEREFORE, this charge is hereby dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/Deborah L. Murray-Sheppard

Deborah L. Murray-Sheppard

Principal Assistant

Public Employment Relations Board

**DATED:** <u>11 March 2004</u>

3054